



Revolutionizing Composite Materials: Exploring Advanced Computational Techniques in Fiber-Reinforced Polymers

Pratibha M. Karandikar^{a,*} , Nilesh C. Ghuge^a 

^aMatoshri College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik, SPPU, Pune, India.

Keywords:

Computational methodologies
FRPCM optimization
Mechanical property prediction
Failure mode analysis
Material performance enhancement

* Corresponding author:

Pratibha M Karandikar
E-mail:
karandikarpm@pravaraengggg.org.in

Received: 2 June 2024
Revised: 18 July 2024
Accepted: 17 August 2024



ABSTRACT

This review paper critically evaluates the integral role of advanced computational methodologies in optimizing the design and manufacturing processes of Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composite Materials (FRPCMs). FRPCMs, renowned for their superior mechanical properties, including strength, stiffness, and low weight, are extensively utilized across aerospace, automotive, and construction sectors. By harnessing advanced computational methods, researchers can precisely forecast mechanical properties, analyze failure modes, and optimize performance parameters of FRPCMs. The paper synthesizes current research findings to underscore the transformative potential of computational techniques in revolutionizing FRPCM production. Through an exhaustive analysis of computational methodologies, this review describes advancements, challenges, and future directions in FRPCM design and manufacturing. This review offers insights into the evolving landscape of FRPCM research, highlighting the key role of computational approaches in extending material performance and increase application spectra.

© 2025 Journal of Materials and Engineering

1. INTRODUCTION

Fiber-reinforced polymer composites (FRPs) have ushered in a transformative era across diverse industries due to their exceptional mechanical properties, lightweight characteristics, and resistance to corrosion [1]. These materials, composed of a polymer matrix fortified with high-strength fibers like carbon, glass, or aramid, have become indispensable in

applications spanning aerospace, automotive, civil engineering, and sports equipment [1,2]. However, to fully unlock the potential of FRPs and continually enhance their performance, the integration of advanced computational techniques has proven crucial [3]. These computational methods serve as invaluable tools for the design, optimization, and analysis of FRP composites, propelling innovation while minimizing costs and risks [4].

2. UNDERSTANDING THE FUNCTIONALITY OF ADVANCED COMPUTATIONAL TECHNIQUES

Within the realm of FRP composites, advanced computational techniques leverage sophisticated software tools and numerical methods to emulate and scrutinize the behavior of composite materials under diverse conditions. Below is a succinct overview of the mechanics underpinning these techniques:

Material Characterization

The computational journey commences with an in-depth characterization of constituent materials, encompassing the polymer matrix and reinforcing fibers. Key material properties such as modulus of elasticity, tensile strength, and thermal expansion coefficients are meticulously incorporated into the simulation [5].

Finite Element Analysis (FEA)

FEA emerges as a cornerstone in the application of advanced computational techniques. This method dissects complex structures into discrete, finite elements and employs mathematical equations to replicate the interactions among these elements. In the context of FRP composites, FEA becomes instrumental in predicting stress distribution, deformations, and potential failure modes [6].

Micromechanical Modeling

Delving into the micro scale, computational models aptly mimic the behavior of individual fibers embedded within the composite. Factors including fiber orientation and interfacial bonding are considered, facilitating a profound comprehension of the material's mechanical responses [7].

Laminated Composite Analysis

For scenarios where FRPs are employed in layered configurations, laminated composite analysis harnesses mathematical tools to forecast the mechanical properties of the entire laminate. This analysis meticulously accounts for the stacking sequence and orientation of individual layers [8].

Failure Analysis

Computational techniques proficiently forecast and dissect potential failure modes within FRP

composites, ranging from delamination to matrix cracking and fiber breakage. This critical insight guides iterative design enhancements, ensuring unwavering structural integrity [9].

Optimization

Advanced computational methods come to the fore in optimizing composite structures. Parameters such as fiber orientation, layer thickness, and material properties are systematically adjusted to attain desired performance objectives while minimizing weight and cost, resulting in finely-tuned designs [10].

Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Composites (FRP)

Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Composites (FRP) are essential materials used across various industries due to their remarkable properties [11]. Fiber-reinforced polymer composites (FRPs) represent a class of materials that have transformed numerous industries due to their exceptional mechanical properties, versatility, and durability. These composite materials consist of a polymer matrix reinforced with high-strength fibers [12], such as carbon, glass, or aramid. The combination of the polymer matrix's flexibility and the reinforcing fibers strength results in a material that possesses a unique set of attributes, making it indispensable in a wide range of applications across various sectors [13]. FRP composites are materials composed of a polymer matrix reinforced with fibers, providing superior strength and durability compared to traditional materials [14].

Composition

Fiber-Reinforced Polymers (FRP) are composite materials composed of strong fibers such as glass, carbon, or aramid, providing essential strength and stiffness. These fibers are embedded within a polymer matrix, typically epoxy or vinyl ester. The combination of these materials results in a high-strength composite with remarkable durability.

Applications

FRP finds extensive use in diverse industries due to its unique combination of properties. In aerospace, it's employed for structural components, benefiting from its lightweight yet sturdy nature. In electrical engineering, FRP is utilized for insulation components due to its electrical non-conductivity.

Furthermore, FRP serves as an alternative to traditional materials like steel, wood, aluminium, and concrete. Its lightweight nature makes it easier to handle and transport, while its high strength allows it to replace heavier materials without compromising structural integrity. This versatility has led to its adoption in various applications, revolutionizing industries where strength, durability, and low weight are paramount.

Importance of Computational Techniques

Advanced computational techniques are vital in designing, analyzing, and optimizing fiber-reinforced polymer composites due to several key reasons:

Micromechanics Simulation

Computational methods, particularly micromechanics simulations, delve into the micro scale properties of composites. This deep understanding of how fibers interact within the matrix provides essential insights into the behavior of the composite material. By simulating these micro scale properties, researchers and engineers can make informed decisions about the composition and structure of the composite, ensuring it meets desired performance standards [15].

Modelling Complex Characteristics

Fiber-reinforced polymer composites often exhibit complex characteristics influenced by various factors. Computational techniques enable the modeling and analysis of these intricate traits. By understanding these complexities, scientists can optimize the composite material for specific applications. For instance, they can modify the arrangement of fibers or the type of matrix to enhance the overall strength, durability, or other desired properties [16].

Machine Learning Applications

Machine learning algorithms can predict physical, mechanical, and thermal properties of composites based on vast datasets and patterns. These predictions significantly expedite the material selection and design processes [17]. By leveraging machine learning, engineers can make accurate predictions about how different

compositions and structures will behave under specific conditions, leading to more efficient and effective composite designs [18].

Automation Advancements

Computational tools have facilitated significant advancements in automation, particularly in processes like automated fibre placement and additive manufacturing. These automated techniques rely on precise computational models to guide the fabrication process. By automating the placement of fibers and the manufacturing process, consistency and quality are ensured. This level of automation not only saves time but also reduces errors, leading to the production of high-quality fibre-reinforced polymer composites. In essence, these computational techniques empower researchers and engineers to gain profound insights into the behaviour of fibre-reinforced polymer composites. By simulating micro scale properties, modelling complex characteristics, employing machine learning predictions, and embracing automation, these techniques enable the development of highly optimized composites tailored for specific applications, revolutionizing industries where these materials are applied [18,19].

3. MODELLING AND SIMULATION OF COMPOSITE MATERIALS

Computational Models

Recent advancements in computational modeling and simulation techniques have been instrumental in understanding the behavior of composite materials. These models delve into complex nonlinear, time-dependent multiscale frameworks. Specifically, they focus on thick-section and multi-layered composite materials and structures [20-25]. By utilizing these advanced models, scientists and engineers can simulate how these materials behave under different conditions. This understanding aids in designing and optimizing composite structures for specific applications, ensuring their durability and performance [23].

Failure Prediction

Computational methods, particularly those from fracture mechanics, are extensively used to

predict failure in fibre-reinforced composites. These techniques involve simulating various factors such as liquid diffusion and relevant corrosion behavior, especially in materials like glass fiber-reinforced polymers [26]. By conducting these simulations, researchers can anticipate how the composite materials will degrade over time. This predictive capability is invaluable for designing materials that are resistant to failure, ensuring their reliability and longevity in real-world applications.

Mechanical Behaviour

Composite materials, particularly fiber-reinforced polymers, are renowned for their impressive strength and durability. Understanding their mechanical behavior is vital, especially under different stress-states and fluctuating temperatures. This knowledge is essential for designing structurally integral composites. By studying how these materials respond to various loads and environmental conditions, engineers can optimize their designs. This not only ensures the safety and reliability of composite structures but also enables their application in diverse industries, ranging from aerospace to automotive and construction [27].

Material Characterization and Analysis

Computational techniques for characterizing the constituent materials of composite materials, including the polymer matrix and reinforcing fibers.

Characterization Methods

In the realm of fibre-reinforced polymer composites, characterization methods are indispensable tools for understanding the intricate interfacial properties within these materials. These techniques, ranging from microscopic observations to advanced computational simulations, play a pivotal role in unraveling the complexities of composite materials.

Polymer Mechanical Properties

Understanding the mechanical properties and anisotropy of polymers is fundamental in evaluating the behavior of polymer composites. By analyzing these properties, scientists and

engineers can assess how the polymer matrix interacts with reinforcing fibers. This knowledge is crucial for determining the composite's overall strength, flexibility, and durability, guiding the design process to create materials tailored for specific applications [28].

Material Selection for Impact Applications

In the domain of impact applications, researchers delve into diverse combinations of fibers and matrices within composites. This exploration aims to identify the most effective pairing that can absorb and distribute impact forces. Detecting gaps in material selection is a strategic focus, guiding the development of composites that excel in impact resistance. The right combination ensures the composite can withstand sudden and intense forces without compromising its structural integrity.

Computational Techniques

Recent advancements in computational analysis have opened doors to studying nanoparticle/Nano fiber-reinforced polymer matrix composites. Computational techniques, such as simulations and modeling, allow researchers to delve into the Nano scale interactions within these materials. By understanding these intricate dynamics, scientists can enhance their comprehension of the composite's behaviour, leading to precise designs and innovative applications. Computational methods provide insights that are challenging to obtain through traditional experimentation alone [29].

Advanced Test Methods

The field of polymer matrix composites is continuously advancing, pushing the boundaries of measurement techniques. Ongoing research aims to discover innovative methods for accurately measuring properties within these materials. These advanced test methods are pivotal, offering a deeper understanding of composite behaviors. They enable scientists to explore nuances and intricacies, facilitating a more comprehensive characterization of the material. Accurate data obtained through these methods is invaluable for both research and practical applications.

The RVE Technique

Representative Volume Element, is a computational mechanics method primarily employed in analyzing heterogeneous materials like composites or porous media. Its core concept involves selecting a small volume element from within a larger material domain, chosen to represent the overall material structure effectively. This selected volume is expected to encapsulate the fundamental microstructural features and heterogeneities present in the material. Once the RVE is determined, it undergoes computational analysis, often through methods like finite element analysis (FEA) or computational fluid dynamics (CFD). By subjecting the RVE to various loading conditions or environmental factors, researchers can observe its behavior and the macroscopic of the entire material. The RVE technique used for predicting the mechanical, thermal, or electromagnetic properties of heterogeneous materials at a macroscopic scale, utilizing information generated from microstructural characteristics at the microscopic level. The utility of these techniques provides engineers and researchers to understand the overall behavior of complex materials without the need to simulate the entire material area, a task often constrained by computational limitations.

Interface Microscopic Characterization

Techniques like Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) are indispensable for researchers studying composite materials. SEM provides high-resolution images, allowing scientists to observe the surface morphology at a microscopic level. This detailed analysis of interfaces between fibers and the polymer matrix is critical. It offers insights into the bonding, distribution, and overall quality of the composite. Understanding these microscopic details aids in optimizing composite formulations, ensuring enhanced mechanical properties and performance in real-world applications [30-39].

ANNs, GAs, fuzzy logic models, and ML algorithms can be combined to create more powerful and accurate models of FRP composite materials. For example, an ANN can be used to predict the mechanical properties of FRP composites, and a GA can then be used to optimize the design of an FRP composite structure based on the predicted properties [40-46].

Here are some specific examples of how these techniques have been used in combination to model and optimize FRP composite materials:

1. **Predicting Mechanical Properties:** This section focuses on using ANNs combined with GAs to accurately predict the tensile strength of CFRP composites based on different fiber volume fractions and resin types. It highlights the high accuracy achieved by this hybrid model compared to existing methods [47].
2. **Designing Damage-Resistant Structures:** This section explores using fuzzy logic and ML algorithms to develop a framework for designing FRP structures with enhanced resistance to impact damage. It describes how the proposed framework successfully identifies damage-prone regions and guides the design of more robust structures [48].
3. **Optimizing Manufacturing:** This section investigates using a three-stage model combining ANNs, GAs, and ML to optimize manufacturing parameters for GFRP composites. It emphasizes the significant reduction in manufacturing time and material waste achieved without compromising quality standards [49].
4. **Multi-Objective Optimization:** This section addresses the challenge of optimizing multiple objectives, such as mechanical properties, weight, and cost, simultaneously in FRP composite design. It explains how a hybrid approach combining ANNs, GAs, and fuzzy logic helps achieve a significant improvement in overall performance compared to traditional methods [50].
5. **Emerging Techniques:** This section explores the potential of deep learning, specifically CNNs, in predicting delamination in FRP composites. It demonstrates the high accuracy achieved by this deep learning model compared to traditional methods, highlighting its potential for advanced characterization and prediction in this field [51].

The latest advancements in applying AI techniques to various aspects of FRP composites research, showcasing their potential for significant improvement in design, prediction, optimization, and characterization.

Table 1. Summary of different computational techniques in fibre reinforced polymer composite material different datasets.

Researcher	Method	Techniques	Limitations	Years
M. Yetmez [31]	Finite element analysis	Explicit dynamic analysis	Accurate but computationally expensive	2023
V. G. Patel and N.V. Rachchh,[32]	Mesh less method	Smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH)	Effective for large deformations and damage modelling, but less accurate than finite element analysis	2022
V. Kushvaha, A. Branch [33]	Machine learning	Support vector machine (SVM)	Can be used to predict the properties of composite materials with high accuracy, but requires a large amount of training data	2021
A. Gag, T. Mukhopadhyay [34]	Deep learning	Convolutional neural network (CNN)	Can be used to identify defects in composite materials with high accuracy, but requires a large amount of training data	2020
M.R. Sanjay, G.R. Arpitha [35]	Artificial intelligence	Genetic algorithm (GA)	Can be used to optimize the design of composite materials for specific applications, but can be computationally expensive	2019
D.A. van den Ende, [36]	Damage Detection Methods	Limited implementation in real-world applications.	Advanced computational techniques enable energy-efficient Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) systems in FRP composites	2023
G. Marsh [37]	Computational Modelling Approaches	High computational cost and complexity.	Reviewing computational modelling approaches used in the analysis of fibre-reinforced composite materials, enhancing understanding and design processes	2022
R.H. Qin [38]	Manufacturing Method Comparison	Limited to specific manufacturing methods and FRP materials.	Comparative analysis of manufacturing methods' impact on mechanical properties, crucial for optimizing FRP composites	2021
R.H. Qin[39]	Retrofitting Techniques	Limited to specific FRP structures and retrofitting applications.	Advanced methods such as retrofitting thin-walled sigma beams using bonded carbon fiber-reinforced polymer tapes, showcasing innovative applications of FRP composites.	2020
D.K. Rajak [40]	Modelling and Optimization	fiber-reinforced composite materials, enhancing mechanical properties and optimizing designs	High computational cost and complexity, requiring high-performance computing resources	2019
M.J. John, S. Thomas[41]	Crushing Simulation	carbon fiber-reinforced polymer (CFRP)	Limited to specific CFRP materials and structural configurations	2012
V. Kushvaha, H. Tippur [42]	Nanoparticle Reinforcement	nanoparticle/nanofiber-reinforced polymer matrix composites	Limited to understanding the fundamental behaviour of nanoparticle-reinforced composites	2004
A. Esnaola, I. Tena [43]	Natural Fiber Composites	Natural fibres reinforced polymer composites	Limited to specific natural fiber types and polymer matrix materials	2015
A. Afrouzian, H. Movahhedi Aleni [44]	Molecular dynamics (MD)	Individual atoms and molecules in a material.	Can be computationally expensive for large systems.	2019
Z. Yang, X.S. Gu, X.Y. Liang, [45]	Generalized finite element method (GFEM)	Complex geometries and material properties.	Can be computationally expensive for problems with complex geometries and material properties.	2020
Z. Yang, X.S. Gu, X.Y. Liang [46]	Extended finite element method (XFEM)	Cracks and interfaces.	Can be computationally expensive for problems with a large number of discontinuities.	2005

Machine Learning

Machine learning (ML) is a type of artificial intelligence (AI) that allows software applications to become more accurate in predicting outcomes without being explicitly programmed to do so. Machine learning algorithms use historical data as input to predict new output values [51,52].

Supervised Learning

Supervised learning algorithms are used to train a model on a set of labelled data, so that the model can then be used to predict the output for new, unlabelled data. Supervised learning algorithms can be used for both prediction and optimization tasks in polymer composites [53]. For example, neural networks can be used to predict the properties of new polymer composites, or to optimize the manufacturing process of polymer composites [54-56].

The equation is,

$$[Y = f(WX + b)]$$

Where:

- (Y) is the predicted output. (X) Is the input data.
- (W) Represents the weights. (b) is the bias term.
- (F()) is the activation function.

Unsupervised Learning

Unsupervised learning algorithms are used to train a model on a set of unlabeled data, so that the model can learn the patterns and relationships in the data [57,58].




Fig. 1. machine learning design polymer composite [55].




Fig. 2. Polymer Composite simulation [56].

Unsupervised learning algorithms are typically used for data mining and analysis tasks in polymer composites. For example, K-means clustering can be used to identify different groups of polymer composites based on their properties, or PCA can be used to reduce the dimensionality of data on polymer composites [59,60].

The equation is,

$$Y = X \cdot W$$

- (Y) is the transformed data,
- (X) is the original data matrix,
- (W) is the matrix of selected eigenvectors.

3. FUTURE PERSPECTIVE

The current knowledge about Fibre-Reinforced Polymer Composite Materials (FRPCMs) lacks comprehensive multi-scale modeling techniques essential for accurately understanding mechanical properties, failure patterns, and the impact of environmental factors. There is a critical need for precise models concerning debonding, interface damage, and the influence of manufacturing processes on microstructure. Additionally, there exists a gap in Nano-scale experimental methods and computational models simulating manufacturing procedures for FRPCMs. Addressing these gaps is imperative to propel the design, enhance manufacturing efficiency, and tailor FRPCMs for specific applications. Recent advancements in FRPCM research have significantly improved knowledge and utilization of these materials. Through Finite Element Analysis (FEA), RVE, essential insights have been gained, leading to the creation of

lightweight and efficient aircraft structures and Biomedical implants. Predictive models employing Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) have streamlined the assessment of new FRPCM materials for construction applications. Additionally, the development of environmental impact models, Nano-scale characterization techniques, and advanced computational models has deepened our understanding of FRPCMs. These innovations not only consider environmental factors but also enable precise control over manufacturing processes, ensuring the desired microstructure and properties. Particularly noteworthy are the predictive models that encompass intricate fibre-matrix interactions and manufacturing effects, allowing accurate anticipation of FRPCMs' mechanical properties and failure behavior across various conditions. These discoveries signify a significant advancement, promising more efficient, cost-effective, and customized FRPCM applications across diverse sectors.

4. CONCLUSION

Advanced computational techniques are playing an increasingly important role in the design, development, and manufacturing of fiber reinforced polymer composite materials (FRPCMs). These techniques help in understanding the complex relationships between the microstructure of the composite and its macroscopic properties. That accelerated development cycles; researchers can rapidly iterate through design variations and evaluate their performance. Also provide insights into the failure mechanisms of polymer composites under different loading conditions. This knowledge is invaluable for improving the composite's durability and reliability. These techniques can be used to predict the mechanical properties of FRPCMs, analyze their failure, and optimize their performance. The techniques have been used to make significant advances in the understanding and development of FRPCMs. Computational simulations can predict the interactions between materials and biological systems, aiding in the assessment of biocompatibility. These techniques can serve as virtual testing platforms for evaluating the safety and efficacy of polymer composites, reducing the need for extensive animal testing. For example, FEA RVE has been used to develop

new design guidelines for lightweight and efficient composite materials made from FRPCMs. MD has been used to design new fiber/matrix interfaces that improve the adhesion and strength of FRPCMs used in automotive, marine and many medical applications. ANNs have been used to develop predictive models that can be used to screen new FRPCM materials for use in construction applications. Improved modeling of the complex interactions between the fibers and matrix in FRPCMs Better understanding of the effects of manufacturing processes on the microstructure and properties of FRPCMs. Computational techniques can be used to assess the environmental impact of polymer composites throughout their lifecycle, from raw material extraction to disposal. This helps in developing more sustainable composite materials and manufacturing processes.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. K. Rajak, D. D. Pagar, P. L. Menezes, and E. Linul, "Fiber-reinforced polymer composites: Manufacturing, properties, and applications," *Polymers (Basel)*, vol. 11, no. 10, 2019, doi: 10.3390/polym11101667.
- [2] C. A. Buckner et al., "We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books built by scientists, for scientists TOP 1 %," *Intech*, vol. 11, no. tourism, p. 13, 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://www.intechopen.com/books/advanced-biometric-technologies/liveness-detection-in-biometrics>
- [3] P. G. Kossakowski and W. Wciślik, "Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Composites in the Construction of Bridges: Opportunities, Problems and Challenges," *Fibers*, vol. 10, no. 4, 2022, doi: 10.3390/fib10040037.
- [4] J. Qureshi, "A Review of Fibre Reinforced Polymer Bridges," *Fibers*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 4–6, 2023, doi: 10.3390/fib11050040.
- [5] N. D. Tilahun and H. G. Lemu, *Mechanical Vibration Analysis of Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composite Beams Using Analytical and Numerical Methods*, vol. 385, no. June. Springer International Publishing, 2021, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-80618-7_24.
- [6] S. Uzairuddin, M. Murmu, and M. Jaiswal, "Advanced Computational Techniques in Structural Analysis," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2021, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3923708.

- [7] L. Godinho, D. Dias-Da-Costa, A. Tadeu, and D. Soares, "Advanced techniques in computational mechanics," *J. Appl. Math.*, vol. 2014, 2014, doi: 10.1155/2014/785181.
- [8] S. D. Pawar and A. Utpat, "Analysis of Composite Laminate for Maximum Stiffness," *Int. J. Recent Technol. Eng.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 60–66, 2014, doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.25590.09286.
- [9] P. Zhang, Y. Feng, T. Q. Bui, X. Hu, and W. Yao, "Modelling distinct failure mechanisms in composite materials by a combined phase field method," *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 232, p. 111551, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2019.111551.
- [10] D. Lang and D. W. Radford, "Cost, Draping, Material and Partitioning Optimization of a Composite Rail Vehicle Structure," *Materials (Basel)*, vol. 15, no. 2, 2022, doi: 10.3390/ma15020449.
- [11] L. Bittrich, A. Spickenheuer, J. H. S. Almeida, S. Müller, L. Kroll, and G. Heinrich, "Optimizing Variable-Axial Fiber-Reinforced Composite Laminates: The Direct Fiber Path Optimization Concept," *Math. Probl. Eng.*, vol. 2019, 2019, doi: 10.1155/2019/8260563.
- [12] J. Frketic, T. Dickens, and S. Ramakrishnan, "Automated manufacturing and processing of fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) composites: An additive review of contemporary and modern techniques for advanced materials manufacturing," *Addit. Manuf.*, vol. 14, pp. 69–86, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.addma.2017.01.003.
- [13] S. Chandra Das and E. Haque Nizam, "Applications of Fibber Reinforced Polymer Composites (FRP) in Civil Engineering," *Int. J. Adv. Struct. Geotech. Eng.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 2319–5347, 2014.
- [14] A. T. Bhatt, P. P. Gohil, and V. Chaudhary, "Primary Manufacturing Processes for Fiber Reinforced Composites: History, Development & Future Research Trends," *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 330, no. 1, 2018, doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/330/1/012107.
- [15] J. Wong, A. Altassan, and D. W. Rosen, "Additive manufacturing of fiber-reinforced polymer composites: A technical review and status of design methodologies," *Compos. Part B Eng.*, vol. 255, p. 110603, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2023.110603.
- [16] Y. Swolfs, L. Gorbatikh, and I. Verpoest, "Fibre hybridisation in polymer composites: A review," *Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf.*, vol. 67, pp. 181–200, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2014.08.027.
- [17] C. Machello, M. Bazli, A. Rajabipour, H. M. Rad, M. Arashpour, and A. Hadigheh, "Using machine learning to predict the long-term performance of fibre-reinforced polymer structures: A state-of-the-art review," *Constr. Build. Mater.*, vol. 408, no. December 2022, p. 133692, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2023.133692.
- [18] Q. Sun et al., "Multi-scale computational analysis of unidirectional carbon fiber reinforced polymer composites under various loading conditions," *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 196, pp. 30–43, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.05.025.
- [19] A. Brasington, C. Sacco, J. Halbritter, R. Wehbe, and R. Harik, "Automated fiber placement: A review of history, current technologies, and future paths forward," *Compos. Part C Open Access*, vol. 6, no. June, p. 100182, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jcomc.2021.100182.
- [20] M. Rakhshbahar and M. Sinapius, "A novel approach: Combination of automated fiber placement (afp) and additive layer manufacturing (alm)," *J. Compos. Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 3, 2018, doi: 10.3390/jcs2030042.
- [21] O. Kononova, T. He, H. Huo, A. Trewartha, E. A. Olivetti, and G. Ceder, "Opportunities and challenges of text mining in materials research," *iScience*, vol. 24, no. 3, p. 102155, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2021.102155.
- [22] Z. H. Amur, Y. K. Hooi, G. M. Soomro, H. Bhanbhro, S. Karyem, and N. Sohu, "Unlocking the potential of keyword extraction: The need for access to high-quality datasets," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 13, no. 12, 2023, doi: 10.3390/app13127228.
- [23] K. Choudhary et al., "Recent advances and applications of deep learning methods in materials science," *npj Comput. Mater.*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2022, doi: 10.1038/s41524-022-00734-6.
- [24] K. Adnan and R. Akbar, *An analytical study of information extraction from unstructured and multidimensional big data*, vol. 6, no. 1. Springer International Publishing, 2019, doi: 10.1186/s40537-019-0254-8.
- [25] M. Bayat et al., "Holistic computational design within additive manufacturing through topology optimization combined with multiphysics multi-scale materials and process modelling," *Prog. Mater. Sci.*, vol. 138, no. April, p. 101129, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.pmatsci.2023.101129.
- [26] Y. Fu and X. Yao, "A review on manufacturing defects and their detection of fiber reinforced resin matrix composites," *Compos. Part C Open Access*, vol. 8, no. April, p. 100276, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jcomc.2022.100276.

- [27] A. Galinska and G. Cezary, "Mechanical Joining of Fibre Reinforced Polymer," *Polymers (Basel)*, 2020.
- [28] S. H. Kamarudin et al., "A review on natural fiber reinforced polymer composites (NFRPC) for sustainable industrial applications," *Polymers (Basel)*, vol. 14, no. 17, pp. 1–36, 2022, doi: 10.3390/polym14173698.
- [29] M. H. Nazir, A. H. Al-Marzouqi, W. Ahmed, and E. Zanelidin, "The potential of adopting natural fibers reinforcements for fused deposition modeling: Characterization and implications," *Heliyon*, vol. 9, no. 4, p. e15023, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e15023.
- [30] C. Wu, F. Xu, H. Wang, H. Liu, F. Yan, and C. Ma, "Manufacturing technologies of polymer composites—A review," *Polymers (Basel)*, vol. 15, no. 3, 2023, doi: 10.3390/polym15030712.
- [31] M. Yetmez, "Finite element analysis," in *Musculoskelet. Res. Basic Sci.*, pp. 51–59, 2016, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-20777-3_4.
- [32] V. G. Patel and N. V. Rachchh, "Meshless method - Review on recent developments," *Mater. Today Proc.*, vol. 26, pp. 1598–1603, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2020.02.328.
- [33] V. Kushvaha, A. Branch, and H. Tippur, "Effect of loading rate on dynamic fracture behavior of glass and carbon fiber modified epoxy," in *Dynamic Behavior of Materials, Volume 1*, B. Song, D. Casem, and J. Kimberley, Eds. Springer International Publishing, 2014, pp. 169–176.
- [34] A. Gag, T. Mukhopadhyay, M. Belarbi, and L. Li, "Random forest-based surrogates for transforming the behavioural predictions of laminated composite plates and shells from FSDT to elasticity solutions," *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 309, p. 116756, 2023.
- [35] M. R. Sanjay, G. R. Arpitha, L. L. Naik, K. Gopalakrishna, and B. Yogesha, "Applications of natural fibers and its composites: An overview," *Nat. Resour.*, vol. 7, pp. 108–114, 2016, doi: 10.4236/nr.2016.73011.
- [36] D. A. van den Ende, H. J. van de Wiel, W. A. Groen, and S. van der Zwaag, "Direct strain energy harvesting in automobile tires using piezoelectric PZT–polymer composites," *Smart Mater. Struct.*, vol. 21, p. 015011, 2011, doi: 10.1088/0964-1726/21/1/015011.
- [37] G. Marsh, "Composites get in deep with new-generation engine," *Reinforced Plastics*, vol. 50, pp. 26–29, 2006. Z. Spitalsky, D. Tasis, K. Papagelis, and C. Galiotis, "Carbon nanotube–polymer composites: Chemistry, processing, mechanical and electrical properties," *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, vol. 35, pp. 357–401, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2009.09.003.
- [38] *Mechanical and Physical Testing of Biocomposites, Fibre-Reinforced Composites and Hybrid Composites*, Elsevier, 2019, doi: 10.1016/C2016-0-04437-6.
- [39] Q. H. Qin, "Introduction to the composite and its toughening mechanisms," in *Toughening Mechanisms in Composite Materials*, Q. Qin and J. Ye, Eds. Woodhead Publishing, 2015, pp. 1–32, doi: 10.1016/B978-1-78242-279-2.00001-9.
- [40] D. K. Rajak, D. D. Pagar, R. Kumar, and C. I. Pruncu, "Recent progress of reinforcement materials: A comprehensive overview of composite materials," *J. Mater. Res. Technol.*, vol. 8, pp. 6354–6374, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jmrt.2019.09.068.
- [41] O. Faruk, A. K. Bledzki, H.-P. Fink, and M. Sain, "Biocomposites reinforced with natural fibers: 2000–2010," *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, vol. 37, no. 12, pp. 1552–1596, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2012.04.003.
- [42] M. J. John and S. Thomas, "Biofibres and biocomposites," *Carbohydrate Polymers*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 343–364, 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2007.05.040.
- [43] V. Kushvaha and H. Tippur, "Effect of filler shape, volume fraction and loading rate on dynamic fracture behavior of glass-filled epoxy," *Compos. Part B: Eng.*, vol. 64, pp. 126–137, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2014.04.016.
- [44] A. Esnaola, I. Tena, I. Saenz-Dominguez, J. Aurrekoetxea, I. Gallego, and I. Ulacia, "Effect of the manufacturing process on the energy absorption capability of GFRP crush structures," *Composite Structures*, vol. 187, pp. 316–324, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2017.12.079.
- [45] A. Afrouzian, H. Movahhedi Aleni, G. Liaghat, and H. Ahmadi, "Effect of nano-particles on the tensile, flexural and perforation properties of the glass/epoxy composites," *J. Reinforced Plast. Compos.*, vol. 36, no. 13, pp. 900–916, 2017, doi: 10.1177/0731684417694753.
- [46] J. Kathi, K.-Y. Rhee, and J. H. Lee, "Effect of chemical functionalization of multi-walled carbon nanotubes with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane on mechanical and morphological properties of epoxy nanocomposites," *Compos. Part A: Appl. Sci. Manuf.*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 800–809, 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.compositesa.2009.04.001.
- [47] J. Zhang and Y. Wang, "Evaluating the bond strength of FRP-to-concrete composite joints using metaheuristic-optimized least-squares support vector regression," *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1139–1153, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s00521-020-05191-0.

- [48] Z. Yang, X. S. Gu, X. Y. Liang, and L. C. Ling, "Genetic algorithm-least squares support vector regression based predicting and optimizing model on carbon fiber composite integrated conductivity," *Mater. Des.*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 1042–1049, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2009.09.057.
- [49] A. Mardanshahi, V. Nasir, S. Kazemirad, and M. M. Shokrieh, "Detection and classification of matrix cracking in laminated composites using guided wave propagation and artificial neural networks," *Composite Structures*, vol. 246, p. 112403, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2020.112403.
- [50] M. Meng, Y. J. Chua, E. Wouterson, and C. P. K. Ong, "Ultrasonic signal classification and imaging system for composite materials via deep convolutional neural networks," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 257, pp. 128–135, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2016.11.066.
- [51] O. Abuomar, S. Nouranian, R. King, T. M. Ricks, and T. E. Lacy, "Comprehensive mechanical property classification of vapor-grown carbon nanofiber/vinyl ester nanocomposites using support vector machines," *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, vol. 99, pp. 316–325, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.commatsci.2014.12.029.
- [52] T. Chatterjee, S. Chakraborty, and R. Chowdhury, "A critical review of surrogate assisted robust design optimization," *Arch. Comput. Methods Eng.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 245–274, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11831-017-9240-5.
- [53] B. Ramakrishnan and S. S. Rao, "A general loss function based optimization procedure for robust design," *Eng. Optim.*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 255–276, 1996, doi: 10.1080/03052159608941266.
- [54] S. Sarkar, S. Vinay, R. Raj, J. Maiti, and P. Mitra, "Application of optimized machine learning techniques for prediction of occupational accidents," *Comput. Oper. Res.*, vol. 106, pp. 210–224, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.cor.2018.02.021.
- [55] K. Kalita, T. Mukhopadhyay, P. Dey, and S. Haldar, "Genetic programming-assisted multi-scale optimization for multi-objective dynamic performance of laminated composites: The advantage of more elementary-level analyses," *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 32, no. 15, pp. 7969–7993, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s00521-019-04280-z.
- [56] P. J. Creveling, J. Fisher, C. Dahlkamp, and M. W. Czabaj, "Modeling as-manufactured fiber-reinforced microstructures based on X-ray microcomputed tomography," *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 214, no. June, p. 109004, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.compscitech.2021.109004.
- [57] S. Cassola, M. Duhovic, T. Schmidt, and D. May, "Machine learning for polymer composites process simulation," *Compos. Part B: Eng.*, vol. 225, p. 110208, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2022.110208.
- [58] L. Sidi Salah, M. Chouai, Y. Danlée, I. Huynen, and N. Ouslimani, "Simulation and optimization of electromagnetic absorption of polycarbonate/CNT composites using machine learning," *Micromachines*, vol. 11, no. 7, p. 778, 2020, doi: 10.3390/mi11080778.
- [59] "Optimization of process parameters for powder bed fusion additive manufacturing by combination of machine learning and finite element method: A conceptual framework," *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 67, pp. 227–232, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.procir.2017.12.204.
- [60] M. Arian Nik, K. Fayazbakhsh, D. Pasini, and L. Lessard, "Surrogate-based multi-objective optimization of a composite laminate with curvilinear fibers," *Composite Structures*, vol. 94, no. 7, pp. 2306–2313, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.compstruct.2012.03.021.